

## Chat Notes: Feb 8, 2015

from Ron to Everyone:

So is this sort an equivalence to Madhyamaka deconstruction of things as self-existing?

from Ron to Everyone:

So it's "surface" all the way down?

from Michael to Everyone:

When the interior is space--like a room in a house we view from outside but then enter--doesn't the notion of an interior get confirmed? Exploration, such as of the TSK vision, seems to invite getting inside. Or does knowledge invite exploring its interior, but with space that is never possible?

from Caroline Sherwood to Everyone:

Can you not 'know' the interiority of a thing, even if you can't sense it?

from Caroline Sherwood to Everyone:

As space has properties, then maybe we need to expand our definition of the meaning of the word 'substance'?

from Michael to Everyone:

Perhaps the surface of knowledge is the names and labels we cloak experience with. But knowing with knowing eyes could be thought of residing INSIDE knowledge.

from Ron to Everyone:

Good art does this

from Ron to Everyone:

Ha ha

from Ron to Everyone:

Substance ties in to TTR's notion of gravity of identity-- which is a freezing of space and time-- and downshifting of the vitality and flow of appearance

from Michael to Everyone:

without appearance could we know that space is present? Including the appearance of unoccupied openness?

from Ron to Everyone:

Yes definitely koan-like in nature

from klaus noldes to Everyone:

there needs to be a knower, or at least a knowing quality

from Karin (privately):

Asking such questions makes me feel a bit lost - and being aware of the miracle of life. it is beyond understanding.

from Caroline Sherwood to Everyone:

the cup: a desire to drink, stability of conditions which hold it in form; the plant: historic biological habit (an impulse of life combined with human desire);

from David Filippone to Everyone:

How does something come to appear? An object comes into view initially as raw, untamed intellectually, it's a play of light or movement or lines and planes, that is different from adjacent objects. Then an intellectual process of differentiation occurs involving memory, this not that...

from Ron to Everyone:  
What does that mean?

from ken mckeeon to Everyone:  
It appears that knowing is the arising of appearance.

from Ron to Everyone:  
Ken that sounds like TSK-speak. Can you say it without using TSK lingo?

from Soudabeh Azizi to Everyone:  
Like a non lucid dream, I am always baffled by what appears, in retrospective looking ...

from Michael to Everyone:  
The branches of a living tree compared to a brick wall may both appear as a surface --however the tree seems to branch forth from a deeper time (spring budding out from winter) and a deeper space (rain, dirt, seeds sprouting) and thereby invites a wider realm of knowledge)

from Soudabeh Azizi to Everyone:  
and rarely as it is happening ...there is not clarity of how it comes to being ...

from Karin to Everyone:  
Asking these questions makes me feel ab bit lost - feeling the miracle of life beyond knowledge

from Philip Gold to Everyone:  
How? "I" as knower am a screen where a particular moment in the constant change of space is projected, paralysed , as "something".

from Philip Gold to Everyone:  
If I remove the screen I return to the mystery of space

from Ron to Everyone:  
Speechless